Adult General Education

	EVALUATION	
	Criterion-Referenced Rubrics	
For:	Adult Learner's Name	
Ву:	Teacher's Name	
	Date	

Diversified Basic Education Program
English Language Arts

English, Research and Persuasion ENG-5103-3

Competency 1: Uses language/talk to communicate and to learn (40%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that best correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark that corresponds to the assigned rating(s). The only mark that can be allotted for a given level is that indicated in the rubric.

Rating scale Evaluation criteria and task	Excellent	Very good	Good	Weak	Very weak	Mark
	A very effective and captivating introduction to the topic that orients the audience	An effective and captivating introduction to the topic that orients the audience	An adequate introduction to the topic that orients the audience	A weak introduction to the topic that provides little orientation	An ineffective and unfocused introduction that provides no orientation	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)]
	Always uses neutral and objective language	Usually uses neutral and objective language	Sometimes uses neutral and objective language	Rarely uses neutral and objective language	Language is not neutral and objective	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
1.1 Effective communication of ideas Oral presentation	Always presents information (facts, statistics, expert opinions, etc.) in a very compelling, convincing and demonstrative manner	Usually presents information (facts, statistics, expert opinions, etc.) in a compelling, convincing and demonstrative manner	Sometimes presents information (facts, statistics, expert opinions, etc.) in a compelling, convincing and demonstrative manner	Rarely presents information (facts, statistics, expert opinions, etc.) in a compelling, convincing and demonstrative manner	Information (facts, statistics, expert opinions, etc.) is not presented in a compelling, convincing and demonstrative manner	/25
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
	A very effective use of at least one visual device	An effective use of at least one visual device	A somewhat effective use of at least one visual device	An ineffective use of a visual device	Visual device used is inapplicable and unrelated	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
	Conclusion of oral presentation is very effective	Conclusion of oral presentation is effective	Conclusion of oral presentation is satisfactory	Conclusion of oral presentation is unfocused	Conclusion of oral presentation is ineffective and/or incomplete	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	

Rating scale Evaluation criteria and task	Excellent	Very good	Good	Weak	Very weak	Mark
	Very effective use of all oral communication features (tone, emphasis, speed, etc.) to generate and maintain interest	Effective use of most oral communication features (tone, emphasis, speed, etc.) to generate and maintain interest	Adequate use of oral communication features (tone, emphasis, speed, etc.) to generate and maintain interest	Use of oral communication features (tone, emphasis, speed, etc.) rarely generates and maintains interest	Oral communication features (tone, emphasis, speed, etc.) are not used effectively	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
1.3 Appropriate use of language conventions Oral presentation	Very effective adaptation of language (style and register) to the context and audience	Effective adaptation of language (style and register) to the context and audience	Adequate adaptation of language (style and register) to the context and audience	Inconsistent adaptation of language (style and register) to the context and audience	Language (style and register) is not adapted to the context and audience	/15
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
	Very effective use of body language to enhance the presentation	Effective use of body language to enhance the presentation	Adequate use of body language to enhance the presentation	Use of body language does little to enhance the presentation	Ineffective use of body language	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	

NOTE: Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

MARK FOR COMPETENCY 1:	_/40
------------------------	------

Competency 2: Reads and listens to written, spoken and media texts (20%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that best correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark that corresponds to the assigned rating(s). The only mark that can be allotted for a given level is that indicated in the rubric.

Rating scale Evaluation criteria and task	Excellent	Very good	Good	Weak	Very weak	Mark
2.1 Coherent construction of meaning from texts Comparative analysis	Clearly justifies own preference with very perceptive references to the preferred text by: - distinguishing between facts and opinions - differentiating emotional language, generalizations and stereotypes from neutral language	Justifies own preference with perceptive references to the preferred text by: - distinguishing between facts and opinions - differentiating emotional language, generalizations and stereotypes from neutral language	Adequate explanation of own preference with general references to the preferred text by: - distinguishing between facts and opinions - differentiating emotional language, generalizations and stereotypes from neutral language	Expresses a tentative preference with occasional references to the text and does little to: - distinguish between facts and opinions - differentiate emotional language, generalizations and stereotypes from neutral language	Expresses a vague or unsubstantiated preference with no reference to the text and does not: - distinguish between facts and opinions - differentiate emotional language, generalizations and stereotypes from neutral language	/5
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
2.2 Demonstration of understanding contextual connections Comparative analysis	Makes very perceptive connections between the two texts (similarities and differences)	Makes perceptive connections between the two texts (similarities and differences)	Makes general connections between the two texts (similarities and differences)	Makes few related or logical connections between the two texts (similarities and differences)	Makes unrelated or illogical connections between the two texts (similarities and differences)	/5
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	

Rating scale Evaluation criteria and task	Excellent	Very good	Good	Weak	Very weak	Mark
2.3 Thorough comprehension of structures and features of texts	Draws extensive meaning from textual features (points of view, language) to interpret texts	Draws thorough meaning from textual features (points of view, language) to interpret texts	Draws adequate meaning from textual features (points of view, language) to interpret texts	Draws limited meaning from textual features (points of view, language) to interpret texts	Draws literal meaning (no inferences) from textual features (points of view, language) to interpret texts	/5
Comparative analysis	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	
2.4 Critical interpretation of texts Comparative analysis	Offers a discerning judgment on the overall value, persuasiveness, relevance and completeness of the texts' arguments and evidence	Offers a thoughtful judgment on the overall value, persuasiveness, relevance and completeness of the texts' arguments and evidence	Offers a sensible judgment on the overall value, persuasiveness, relevance and completeness of the texts' arguments and evidence	Offers a partial judgment on the overall value, persuasiveness, relevance and completeness of the texts' arguments and evidence	Judgment lacks value and merely restates the texts' arguments and evidence	/5
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	

NOTE: Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

MARK FOR COMPETENCY 2: ____/20

Competency 3: Produces texts for personal and social purposes (40%)

Instructions:

- For each criterion, circle the statement(s) that best correspond(s) to the adult learner's performance level.
- In the last column, enter the mark that corresponds to the assigned rating(s). The only mark that can be allotted for a given level is that indicated in the rubric.

Rating scale Evaluation criteria and task	Excellent	Very good	Good	Weak	Very weak	Mark
	Develops a very clearly defined stance with effective arguments and information (5)	Develops a clearly defined stance with effective arguments and information (4)	Develops a defined stance with sufficient arguments and information (3)	Stance is unclear and unfocused with weak arguments and insufficient information (2)	Stance is not evident and lacks arguments and information (1)	
3.1 Effective organization of texts to communicate Argumentative article/essay	Maintains very strong coherence and cohesion throughout the text (5)	Maintains strong coherence and cohesion throughout the text (4)	Maintains adequate coherence and cohesion throughout the text	Coherence and cohesion throughout the text is weak (2)	Lack of coherence and cohesion throughout the text impedes argument (1)	/15
	Presents ideas and arguments in a very clear, logical and organized manner	Presents ideas and arguments in a clear, logical and organized manner	Presents ideas and arguments in a logical and somewhat organized manner	Presents ideas and arguments in a confusing and poorly organized manner	Presents ideas and arguments in a manner that impedes comprehension	
3.2 Appropriate adaptation of language for audience and	Always uses appropriate tone and register to support stance or argument (5)	(4) Usually uses appropriate tone and register to support stance or argument (4)	(3) Sometimes uses appropriate tone and register to support stance or argument (3)	Rarely uses appropriate tone and register to support stance or argument (2)	(1) Tone and register used are inappropriate (1)	//10
purpose Argumentative article/essay	Very effective adaptation of language to the audience, context and purpose (5)	Effective adaptation of language to the audience, context and purpose (4)	Adequate adaptation of language to the audience, context and purpose (3)	Inadequate adaptation of language to the audience, context and purpose (2)	Language is not adapted to the audience, context and purpose (1)	/10

Rating scale Evaluation criteria and task	Excellent	Very good	Good	Weak	Very weak	Mark
3.3 Appropriate use of structures, features, codes and conventions of texts Argumentative article/essay	Demonstrates firm control of the structures, features, codes and conventions of an argumentative article/essay	Demonstrates good control of the structures, features, codes and conventions of an argumentative article/essay	Demonstrates adequate control of the structures, features, codes and conventions of an argumentative article/essay	Demonstrates ineffective control of the structures, features, codes and conventions of an argumentative article/essay	Little or no control of the structures, features, codes and conventions of an argumentative article/essay	/10
	(10)	(8)	(6)	(4)	(2)	
3.4 Correct application of language conventions (usage and mechanics) Argumentative article/essay	Sustains control of syntax, punctuation, spelling, capitalization and grammar throughout the text; errors, if any, are few and insignificant Skillfully crafts varied sentences	Sustains control of syntax, punctuation, spelling, capitalization and grammar throughout the text for the most part; errors are noticeable but infrequent Provides well-structured sentences	Demonstrates acceptable control of syntax, punctuation, spelling, capitalization and grammar throughout the text; errors occasionally distract the reader Sentences have acceptable structure (3)	Demonstrates a tenuous control of syntax, punctuation, spelling, capitalization and grammar throughout the text; errors often distract the reader Simple sentence structure (2)	Demonstrates a lack of control of syntax, punctuation, spelling, capitalization and grammar throughout the text; errors distract the reader and alter meaning Sentence structures are unclear and awkward	/5

NOTE: Assign a mark of 0 when the adult learner's performance does not correspond to any of the statements in the rubric.

MARK FOR COMPETENCY 2:	/40
------------------------	-----

Adult General Education

Adult Learner's Results Sheet

(for optional use)

Adult Learner's Name

Version of the examination:	Course code:	Course title:	Program title:
A/B/C	ENG-5103-3	English, Research and Persuasion	English Language Arts
Date:		l Persuasion	

· ·	
Teacher's name:	
School board:	

	Adult education centre:
	n centre:
Comments	
Result	

/100 marks	Final result	
/40 marks		purposes
competency	or ial	Produces texts for personal and social
Evaluation of the		COMPETENCY 3
/20 marks	nd media	written, spoken and media texts
competency	s to	Reads and listens to
Evaluation of the		COMPETENCY 2
/40 marks	d to learn	communicate and to learn
Evaluation of the competency	lk to	COMPETENCY 1 Uses language/talk to
Result	Comments	

